

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/624,191	07/24/2000		Mark Donner	06975-100001	6404	
26171	7590	11/02/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & RI		SON P.C.	EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	·	•		2157		
				DATE MAILED: 11/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/624,191	DONNER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hussein A. El-chanti	2157

			1
	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time position.	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	cliance with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>		i E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed emendme	ent concoling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues in substance that Tsimelzon does not teach that server 120 stores a copy of the shortpage. In reponse, Tsimelzon explicitly states that server 120 stores a copy of the shortpage. The user may send a request for the shortpage to server 120, and in response, server 120 sends a copy of the shortpage to the client (see col. 6 lines 16-22). The client may also send a request to server 120 to "delete" the shortpage from server 120 and in response to the request, server 120 deletes the shortpage (see col. 6 lines 23-29). Examiner points out that it is only possible for server 120 to delete the shortpage from the server if and only if the shortpage was actually stored on the server. Therefore Tsimelzon teaches storing a copy of the shortpage on server 120.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER